



Mutual Joint Visit Workshop for Seveso Inspections on

Safety Performance Indicators

Organised by the Austrian Federal Ministry of
Science, Research and Economy (BMWFW) and
the European Commission's Joint Research
Centre

April, 9th – 12th

Inspection experience

- There is no obligation from PT Enforcement Authority for operators to use SPI in Seveso establishments;
- Upper-tier establishments inspected have implemented SPI;
- Some lower-tier have implemented SPI (*specially those with other Management Systems implemented or with chemical processes*);
- The existence of SPIs is checked (procedures and indicators), but are not used as evidence;

What sites should use SPIs?

- SPI's are useful to monitor a Major Accident Prevention Policy (MAPP) and SMS (*eventually is an obligation*);
- *During inspections we look for evidence.* SPI's can be a starting point to look for evidence, but is not considered as an evidence itself;
- Operators of lower-tier establishments will definitely benefit, since they do not have to implement a **formal SMS (Article 8)**, as upper-tier do;

Good and bad practices



- Indicators for monitoring the level of implementation of corrective measures after a noncompliance stated during auditing



- Too many indicators, as a consequence workers tend to disperse attention;
- All indicators for “near misses” being perfect (near misses happen but there are zero reports);