

Your inspection experience - Sweden



- Does your authority mandate the use of process safety performance indicators for your Seveso establishments? *Yes, we use a kind of indicator thinking already in the planning process of our Seveso inspections.*
- *If so, in your estimation, how many (approx%) use some kind of SPI (even if in your opinion some may not be complete or of good quality)? About 70-80 % use or have an intention by using some kind of SPI or have a similar thinking.*
- Do you consider SPIs in the inspection if they have them? If yes, how do you consider them, e.g., for dialogue, as evidence (evidence of what?), etc. *In dialogue with the companies we ask questions that are linked to SPI. We use regulatory support, but we do not have any checklists just considering SPI. We can for example get an idea of the company's safety culture.*

What sites should use SPIs?

- Do you think SPIs are useful for sites? Are they useful for the Seveso inspection? **Yes**
- Why or why not? **We think that we get a better picture of the company and how they think, what safety culture they have. If they have a thinking of SPI both pro-active (how to prevent, what preparations do we have in case of an emergency) and reactive (learning from incidents, accidents, emergencies, etc.).**
- In your opinion are there sites which are not currently using SPIs which could benefit from their use? **Companies that only are scratching on the surface, i.e. they only see the most obvious, but do not do further analysis.**

Good and bad practices

From your observations on sites using SPIs:

- Give an example of a good practice Companies that have a behavioral security approach, they ask themselves 5*Why? (Akzo Nobel)
- Give an example of a bad practice Big companies with so many instructions and routines that it is difficult to keep track of everything.