

Lower-tier establishment in a chemical park: Characteristics and challenges in the monitoring practice

Julia Winkler

18-20 October 2023, Lisbon, Portugal

Mutual Join Visit Workshop for Seveso Inspections 2023





Some facts

- ✓ It is a lower-tier establishment with a petrochemical plant,
- \checkmark In a chemical park.
- ✓ There is a plant fire brigade for the whole chemical park.
- ✓ There are also upper-tier establishments located.



It triggers a significant major accident scenario due to the handling of explosive substances.



Major accident scenario

B L E V E

→ Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapour Explosion

"... is a hazardous phenomenon that can occur when a vessel containing a pressurized liquid fails, leading to very rapid vapourization of the liquid in the vessel with a large blast effect. The most dangerous BLEVEs concern flammable substances, in particular butane, propane or LPG, where a massive fireball is generated after the inflammation of the large vapour cloud." (https://risk-engineering.org/concept/BLEVE)

In this case due to the handling of explosive substances (propylene). It may be caused by underfiring (pool fire).



(www.firerescue1.com)



The plant

... is surrounded by different plants that handle different substances.

... has a sloping surface for the drainage of flammable liquids to avoid underfiring.

... has gas detectors, water curtains, a water sprinkler system and acoustic alarms.

... has a large surrounding explosion-proof zone.



Differences lower- / upper- tier establishments

LOWER-TIER

 Major-accident prevention policy (MAPP)

- Land-use planning on request
- Information to the public
- Inspections less frequent (3 years)

UPPER- TIER

- **Safety report** and integrated Majoraccident prevention policy (MAPP)
- Emergency plans
- Land-use planning
- Further information to the public
- Inspections more frequent (1 year)



Characteristics and challenges: Exemplary situations





1. Modifications

Modification regarding fire protection isolation

- ... to prevent corrosion under isolation and resulting leakages.
- There is no safety report according to the Seveso III Directive, only the major-accident prevention policy (MAPP).
 - Therefore there was no detailed description of the major accident scenarios, including measures for prevention and limitation.
 - > The systematic hazard analyses were not directly available.
 - > The documentation was insufficient.



It was difficult to adequately assess the modification, especially regarding the hazardous situation.



1. Modifications

What did we do?



- We requested
 - the systematic hazard analysis, in this case a layer of protection analysis (LOPA).
 - the relevant P&I- diagrams.

What did we find out?

- The major accident scenarios relevant to this modification:
 - Pool fire,
 - Jet Fire,
 - BLEVE.



In the case of modifications in upper-tier establishments, the safety report with the detailed description of major accident scenarios can be used to analyse the situation.



2. Plant fire brigade

Ordering of a plant fire brigade for the chemical park

... by colleagues from another department of the same authority.





2. Plant fire brigade

Important facts about the lower-tier establishment:

- It can trigger a domino effect within the chemical park (e.g. with toxic substances) and the resulting obligations.
- This also affects the internal emergency plans of neighbouring establishments and the entire chemical park.
- Other plants have acoustic alarms, focused on this plant only.
 → This is explained during inspections.



Normally there are **no** emergency plans for lower-tier establishments. In this case it exists because the plant is in a chemical park.



3. Flaring activities

- ... are an integral part of the plant's safety shutdown system in addition to the blow-down-system.
- Risk assessments of the plant's technology are more difficult due to the comparative lack of information.
- ... cause disturbances with external effects for the chemical park and the neighbourhood.
- Normally there is **less** information to the public, but for this plant we have the whole information because it is located in a chemical park.



Summary of characteristics and challenges

Less information

- on emergency plans
- to the public
- because there is no safety report

Risk assessments of the plant's technology and the hazardous situation are more difficult.

> There must be awareness of being a Seveso establishment.

Information have to be requested additionally.

Lower-tier establishments may are underestimated in regard of the potential risk they may cause.

... and more



Thank you for your attention!

Julia Winkler

Bezirksregierung Köln Dezernat 53 – Immissionsschutz und Anlagensicherheit 50606 Köln

Dienstgebäude: Telefon: Telefax: eMail: Internet:

e: Zeughausstraße Str. 2-10, Köln + 49 (0) 221 - 147 - 3943 + 49 (0) 221 - 147 - 4168 julia.winkler@brk.nrw.de www.brk.nrw.de

