Table 1: Description of exercise

Description of exercise	Phase 1
Description of Method(s) used	Chronological description based on selected reports. Comprehensive reports allowed selection of best accident sequence presentation with most complete background.
Accident(s) studied	Fukushima Daiichi, 11/03/2011, nuclear power plant triple reactor core melt caused by Tohoku earthquake and tsunami with huge radiological contamination of wide land and sea area.
References used by the team , including tools, websites.	Selected national (Japan) and international reports.
publications.	See below in Table 4
Expectations of outcomes	Provide complete and concise accident description.
	Compare approaches and results from major assessments.
	Suggest favorable approach.

Table 2: Findings relevant to the accident and report information

What was the	at was the How did this phase meet your expectations?				
result of this	It is not yet clear how to make generalization from particular accident analysis				
process?	approach to the general accidents perspective.				
0.7	Scope of the investigation reports:				
e.g., -findings -questions, gaps in information that you hope to resolve in the next steps	Accident was fully analyzed from technical to organizational perspective.				
	Findings from report:				
	Non report has applied any particular method for accident analysis.				
	Reports are comprehensive and provide sufficient details about accident.				
-scope of the	Limitations of the report:				
investigation	Number of detailed reports present challenge for review.				
-limitations imposed	Potential themes emerging:				
available	Better formulation of the proper/optimal accident analysis approach problem.				
-potential themes already emerging -gaps in information	Questions or gaps in information that you hope to resolve in the next steps:				
	How can we show that specific lessons learned have more general value?				
	How analysis is influenced by stakeholders and is there common approach?				
If you were an investi-					
gator or inspector, what questions would	Why they did not considered temporary tsunami protection measures while				
	waiting for more certain estimates of expected tsunami hazard (i.e., water				
you ask the site follow- ing this analysis?	protection for auxiliary safety power source)?				
-limitations imposed by information available -potential themes already emerging -gaps in information Ifyou were an investi- gator or inspector, what questions would you ask the site follow- ing this analysis?	Potential themes emerging: Better formulation of the proper/optimal accident analysis approach problem.Questions or gaps in information that you hope to resolve in the next steps: How can we show that specific lessons learned have more general value? How analysis is influenced by stakeholders and is there common approach?Why they did not considered temporary tsunami protection measures while waiting for more certain estimates of expected tsunami hazard (i.e., water protection for auxiliary safety power source)?				

Table 3: Findings relevant to the method

Summary of experience working with the method(s)	There was no particular method besides collecting available information, putting them together and making expert judgment.
Advantages	Simple.
Disadvantages	Demanding and without guaranty for comprehensiveness.
Advice for analysts/inspectors using this (these) method(s)	Independent review is critical.

Date	Title	Link	Comment
2011-	Timelines of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster, different sources	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaste r http://www.scientificamerican.com/medi a/multimedia/0312-fukushima-timeline/ https://www.oecd- nea.org/news/2011/NEWS-04.html	Examples
May 2011	Technical Lessons Learned from the Fukushima-Daichii Accident and Possible Corrective Actions for the Nuclear Industry: An Initial Evaluation, MIT	http://web.mit.edu/nse/pdf/news/2011 /Fukushima Lessons Learned MIT-NSP- 025.pdf	22pp
Nov. 2011	Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station, INPO 11-005	www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1134/ML11347A4 54.pdf	104pp
2011- 2015	Actions in Response to the Japan Nuclear Accident: Additional and International Reports, US NRC	<u>http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ ops-experience/japan/japan- international-reports.html</u>	Collection
Feb. 2012	Lessons from Fukushima, Greenpeace	http://www.greenpeace.org/internationa l/en/publications/Campaign- reports/Nuclear-reports/Lessons-from- Fukushima/	52pp
March 2012	Why Fukushima Was Preventable, The Carnegie Papers	http://carnegieendowment.org/2012/03 /05/why-fukushima-was-preventable- pub-47361	50pp
June 2012	Fukushima Nuclear Accident Analysis Report, TEPCO	http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp- com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e 0104.pdf	503pp
June 2012	Fukushima Daiichi: ANS Committee Report	<u>http://fukushima.ans.org/report/Fukushi ma_report.pdf</u>	48pp
July 2012	Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations, Japan government	<u>http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/</u> eng/final-report.html	48pp (executive summary)
March 2012	Fukushima, one year later, Initial analysis of the accident and its consequences, IRSN	www.irsn.fr/EN/publications/technical /IRSN Fukushima-1-year-later_2012- 003.pdf	188pp
Sept. 2011	Japanese earthquake and tsunami: Implications for UK nuclear industry, ONR, HSE	<u>www.onr.org.uk/fukushima/final-</u> <u>report.pdf</u>	315pp
Sept. 2012	The Fukushima Nuclear Accident and Crisis Management, Lessons for Japan- U.S. Alliance Cooperation, The Sasakawa Peace Foundation	<u>https://www.spf.org/e/publication/detai</u> <u>l 8271.html</u>	135pp
Oct. 2012	Japanese earthquake and tsunami: Implementing the lessons for the UK's nuclear industry, ONR, Sept. 2011HSE	www.onr.org.uk/fukushima/implementat ion-report-oct-2012.pdf	384pp
2012	The Fukushima Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission, Executive Summary, The National Diet of Japan	https://www.nirs.org/fukushima/naiic r eport.pdf	88pp

Date	Title	Link	Comment
2013	The Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident, OECD/NEA Nuclear Safety Response and Lessons Learnt, OECD NEA	https://www.oecd-nea.org/fukushima/ https://www.oecd- nea.org/nsd/pubs/2016/7284-five- years-fukushima.pdf	69pp
2013	Levels and effects of radiation exposure due to the nuclear accident after the 2011 great east-Japan earthquake and tsunami, Volume I Report to the General Assembly Scientific Annex A, UNSCEAR	http://www.unscear.org/unscear/en/pu blications/2013_1.html http://www.unscear.org/unscear/en/fuk ushima.html	321pp
2013	Fukushima Daiichi Accident Study Information Portal, Public Content Report, INL, ORNL, SNL	<u>https://fukushima.inl.gov/PDF/FDASIP-</u> <u>Ver-7.pdf</u>	77pp
2014- 2016	Japan Lessons Learned, US NRC	http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ ops-experience/japan-dashboard.html	Collection
2015	The Fukushima Daiichi Accident, Report by the IAEA DG,	<u>http://www-</u> pub.iaea.org/books/iaeabooks/10962/th e-fukushima-daiichi-accident	1254pp
July 2016	Fukushima Accident, World Nuclear Association	http://www.world- nuclear.org/information-library/safety- and-security/safety-of-plants/fukushima- accident.aspx	Collection
2016	Five Years after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, Nuclear Safety Improvements and Lessons Learnt, OECD NEA	<u>https://www.oecd-</u> <u>nea.org/nsd/pubs/2016/7284-five-</u> <u>years-fukushima.pdf</u>	80pp