

INSTITUTE FOR SYSTEMS INFORMATICS AND SAFETY

**Guidelines on a Major Accident Prevention Policy
and Safety Management System,
as required by Council Directive 96/82/EC (SEVESO II)**

Neil Mitchison & Sam Porter (Eds.)

ISBN 92-828-4664-4

EUR 18123 EN

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements

Preface

- 1 Introduction to Safety Management Systems
- 2 Development of a Major Accident Prevention Policy (MAPP)
- 3 Elements of Safety Management Systems
 - Organisation and personnel
 - Hazard identification and evaluation
 - Operational control
 - Management of change
 - Planning for emergencies
 - Monitoring performance
 - Audit and review
- 4 Bibliography

Acknowledgements

These guidelines have been developed in close collaboration with a Technical Working Group (“TWG4”) appointed for the purpose by DG XI of the European Commission, and have been endorsed by the Committee of Competent Authorities for the Implementation of the “Seveso” Directives. The editors would like to thank all the members of TWG4 (and their various colleagues) for their constructive comments and suggestions throughout the process of drawing up and testing this document. The help of Stelios Loupasis, MAHB, in assembling the bibliography is also gratefully acknowledged.

Members of TWG4 and invited experts in addition to the editors *(in alphabetical order)*

ANIELLO AMENDOLA	MAHB, JRC, European Commission
TERJE AUSTERHEIM	Directorate of Fire and Explosion Prevention, Norway
PETER BENTLEY	Shell International, The Netherlands
MAGNUS BLOMQUIST	National Board of Occupational Safety and Health, Sweden
WILLIËT BROUWER	Ministerie van Sociale Zaken en Werkgelegenheid, the Netherlands
NORMAN BYROM	Health and Safety Executive, UK
CARLO CACCIABUE	JRC, European Commission
RITA CAROSELLI	Ministero dell’Ambiente, Italy
COLETTE CLEMENTÉ	Ministère de l’Environnement, France
RON DE CORT	Health & Safety Executive, UK
RAFFAELE DELLE PIANE	ISPESL, Italy
BERND FRÖHLICH	Exxon Chemical International, Germany
VÉRONIQUE GAZDA	Ministère de l’Environnement, France
JAN GINCKELS	AIB - Vincotte Inter, Belgium
JACOB JESSEN	The Association of Danish Chemical Industries, Denmark
E.F.J. KOK	Moret Ernst & Young, The Netherlands
W.J. KOLK	DuPont de Nemours, The Netherlands
HARALD LORENZ	Hessisches Ministerium für Umwelt, Germany
G. MARSILI	Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy
DAVID MILNE	Shell International, The Netherlands
GEORGE MOUZAKIS	Ministry of the Environment, Greece
KEVIN MYERS	DG XI, European Commission
HANS-JÜRGEN PETTELKAU	Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Germany
SEBASTIANO SERRA	Ministero dell’Ambiente, Italy
RUI FIGUEIREDO SIMÕES	ATRIG, Direcção-Geral do Ambiente, Portugal
GERALD SPINDLER	Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany
JEAN-PAUL TRESPAILLÉ	Association Européenne des Gaz de Pétrole Liquéfiés, France
JACQUES VAN STEEN	TNO, The Netherlands
G. VAN DEN LANGENBERGH	BASF, Belgium
ERIK VAN GILS	Ministry of Labour, Belgium

Preface

Council Directive 96/82/EC (SEVESO II) is aimed at the prevention of major accidents involving dangerous substances, and the limitation of their consequences. The provisions contained within the Directive were developed following a fundamental review of the implementation of Council Directive 82/501/EEC (SEVESO I).

In particular, certain areas were identified where new provisions seemed necessary on the basis of an analysis of major accidents which have been reported to the Commission since the implementation of SEVESO I. One such area is management policies and systems. Failures of the management system were shown to have contributed to the cause of over 85 per cent of the accidents reported.

Against this background, requirements for management policies and systems are contained in the SEVESO II Directive. The Directive sets out basic principles and requirements for policies and management systems, suitable for the prevention, control and mitigation of major accident hazards.

The Directive sets out two levels of requirements corresponding to 'lower tier' and 'upper tier' establishments. There is a requirement for lower tier establishments to draw up a Major Accident Prevention Policy (MAPP), designed to guarantee a high level of protection for man and the environment by appropriate means including appropriate management systems, taking account of the principles contained in Annex III of the Directive. The operator of an upper tier establishment (covered by Article 9 of the Directive and corresponding to a larger inventory of hazardous substances) is required to demonstrate in the 'safety report' that a MAPP and a Safety Management System (SMS) for implementing it have been put into effect in accordance with the information set out in Annex III of the Directive.

In effect, the requirements for policies and management systems which apply to a lower tier establishment are similar to those for an upper tier establishment except that:

- the Directive states that the requirements should be proportionate to the major-accident hazards presented by the establishment, which is considered to introduce more flexibility;
- it is not necessary to prepare a detailed report for demonstrating how the Safety Management System has been put into effect;
- the document setting out the MAPP must be 'made available' but need not necessarily be sent to the competent authorities.

The particular circumstances of a given establishment will mean that in many cases some of the measures proposed here will be required in more or less detail as appropriate. In the document which follows, the term "where appropriate" is used for the most significant of these cases, but should be understood to apply implicitly throughout. In certain cases, there may be aspects specific to the establishment which require the consideration of particular points not set out here.

This document has been produced to provide guidance and explanation on what is required on a 'Major Accident Prevention Policy' and 'Safety Management Systems' by the Directive. It should not be considered mandatory as such and does not preclude other reasonable interpretations of the requirements of the Directive. It should be emphasised that this 'guidance' is not legislation. However the document does give an authoritative interpretation of the meaning of the Directive, developed by the European Commission through dialogue with representatives from the Member States.

1 Introduction to Safety Management Systems

Relevant text from the Directive:

The safety management system should include the part of the general management system which includes the organizational structure, responsibilities, practices, procedures, processes and resources for determining and implementing the major accident prevention policy.

It is recognised that the safe functioning of an establishment depends on its overall management. Within this overall management system, the safe operation of an establishment requires the implementation of a system of structures, responsibilities, and procedures, with the appropriate resources and technological solutions available. This system is known as the Safety Management System (SMS).

Thus any Safety Management System is a constituent part of the overall management system of the establishment, which may in turn be dependent on a management system developed for a larger entity such as a company or group of companies. This is particularly important when it comes to detailed implementation of the guidelines set out here; the approach to implementation will and should differ from company to company, reflecting the overall management philosophy, system, and culture as appropriate for the workforce and the process technologies involved.

The Safety Management System may also involve integration with a management system which addresses other matters, such as the health of workers, the environment, quality, etc. It is possible to develop a Safety Management System by extending the scope of an existing management system, but it will be incumbent upon the operator to ensure, and demonstrate where necessary, that the management system has been fully developed to cover major-accident controls and meets the requirements of the Directive.

A further issue in the management system concerns the question “what is management?” While there are different terminologies and detailed definitions, it is accepted now that management of any sizeable activity is based on the idea of a “management loop”, which involves agreeing an objective, defining a plan to achieve that objective, formulating the detailed work required to implement the plan, carrying out the work, checking the outcome against the plan, and planning and taking appropriate corrective action. Safety management is no exception to this general principle. This means that in addition to the goals of the Safety Management System, and the issues it addresses, the integrity of the management loop and the completeness and accurate functioning of the management system are essential.

These guidelines describe seven fundamental elements that should be included in the Safety Management System, as specified in Annex III of the Directive. They do not describe a complete Safety Management System, since such a system will cover aspects of safety other than major accident hazards, and will have to reflect the culture and structure of a specific company. It is the responsibility of the operator to ensure that all seven elements are incorporated into the system, including monitoring, audit and review processes which are essential components of the system. The relevant sections of this document give advice on the need for sufficient independence from the operational unit for persons undertaking auditing and reviews. However it is still under the responsibility of the operator to ensure that independent audits and reviews are carried out.

Inspections carried out by, or on behalf of, the competent authorities in pursuance of Article 18 of the Directive do not replace the operator’s own responsibilities to ensure that the necessary monitoring, auditing and review of the management system is carried out. However the results of operator audits and reviews may well be of interest to the inspection authorities.

2 Development of a Major Accident Prevention Policy (MAPP)

Relevant text from the Directive:

The major accident prevention policy should be established in writing and should include the operator's overall aims and principles of action with respect to the control of major accident hazards.

The operator must draw up a document setting out his Major-Accident Prevention Policy (MAPP). The document is intended to give an overview of how the operator ensures a high level of protection for man and the environment. The document should take account of the principles contained in Annex III of the SEVESO II Directive in the following seven areas:

- organization and personnel
- identification and evaluation of major hazards
- operational control
- management of change
- planning for emergencies
- monitoring performance
- audit and review

The text given in the subsequent sections of this guidance document is intended to describe the above elements within a Safety Management System but can also be used as a guide to the meaning of each of the elements within the MAPP.

The MAPP can be a much less detailed document than one which describes the Safety Management System but should clearly indicate the arrangements, structures and management systems required for each of the seven areas. A MAPP is not a mini safety report and should refer to other detailed documentation where necessary. Indeed there will normally be a hierarchy of documentation: at the top of this hierarchy the MAPP sets out the policy and principles of major hazard prevention, and then each subsequent level explains in more detail the application of these principles, finishing with working documents and instructions.

The scope of application of the MAPP should be clearly stated and should be consistent with covering all sources of major-accident hazards.

Operators may already have a formal statement of safety policy in some form, possibly integrated with statements of policy covering health and environmental protection issues. In such cases, the operator may wish to review an existing policy document and revise it as necessary to include the requirements of a MAPP as specified by the Directive. It may also be appropriate in some cases to prepare the MAPP as an addendum to existing policy documents.

3 Elements of Safety Management Systems

Organisation and personnel

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Organisation and personnel - the roles and responsibilities of personnel involved in the management of major hazards at all levels in the organisation. The identification of training needs of such personnel and the provision of the training so identified. The involvement of employees and, where appropriate, sub-contractors.

The Safety Management System should reflect the top-down commitment and the safety culture of the operator's organisation, translated into the necessary resources and direct responsibilities of personnel involved in the management of major hazards at all levels in the organisation. The operator should identify the skills and abilities needed by such personnel, and ensure their provision.

The role, responsibility, accountability, authority and interrelation of all personnel who manage, perform or verify work affecting safety should be defined, particularly for staff responsible for:

- the provision of resources, including human resources, for SMS development and implementation;
- action to ensure staff awareness of hazards, and compliance with the operator's safety policy;
- identification, recording and follow-up of corrective or improvement actions;
- control of abnormal situations, including emergencies;
- identifying training needs, provision of training, and evaluation of its effectiveness;
- coordinating the implementation of the system and reporting to top management.

The operator should ensure the involvement of employees, and where appropriate of contractors or others present at the establishment, both in determining the safety policy and in its implementation. In particular the operator should ensure that contractors receive the necessary information and training to enable them to be aware of the hazards involved, and to satisfy the safety policy.

Hazard identification and evaluation

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Identification and evaluation of major hazards - adoption and implementation of procedures for systematically identifying major hazards arising from normal and abnormal operation and the assessment of their likelihood and severity.

The operator should develop and implement procedures to systematically identify and evaluate hazards arising from its activities, and from the substances and materials handled or produced in them. The procedures used for the identification and evaluation of hazards should be formal, systematic, and critical. There should also be systematic procedures for the definition of measures both for the prevention of incidents and for the mitigation of their consequences.

The detailed content of procedures for hazard identification and evaluation is beyond the scope of this particular guidance document. However the 'management system' should include an assessment of the skills and knowledge required, including where appropriate a team approach in order to find the necessary combination and range of theoretical and practical knowledge to develop and implement appropriate procedures.

Hazard identification and evaluation procedures should be applied to all relevant stages from project conception through to decommissioning, including:

- potential hazards arising from or identified in the course of planning, design, engineering, construction, commissioning, and development activities;
- the normal range of process operating conditions, hazards of routine operations and of non-routine situations, in particular start-up, maintenance, and shut-down;
- incidents and possible emergencies, including those arising from component or material failures, external events, and human factors, including failures in the SMS itself;
- hazards of decommissioning, abandonment, and disposal;
- potential hazards from former activities;
- external hazards including those arising from natural hazards (including abnormal temperatures, fire, flood, earthquake, strong winds, tidal waves), from transport operations including loading and unloading, from neighbouring activities, and from malevolent or unauthorised action.

Due consideration should be given to any lessons learnt from previous incidents and accidents (both within and outside the organisation concerned), from operating experience of the installation concerned or similar ones, and from previous safety inspections and audits.

Operational control

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Operational control - adoption and implementation of procedures and instructions for safe operation, including maintenance, of plant, processes, equipment and temporary stoppages.

The operator should prepare and keep up to date and readily available the information on process hazards and design and operational limits and controls coming from the hazard identification and risk evaluation procedures. Based on these, documented procedures should be prepared and implemented to ensure safe design and operation of plant, processes, equipment and storage facilities. In particular, these procedures should cover:

- commissioning
- start-up and normal periodic shutdown
- all phases of normal operations, including test, maintenance and inspection
- detection of and response to departures from normal operating conditions
- temporary or special operations
- operation under maintenance conditions
- emergency operations
- decommissioning.

Safe working practices should be defined for all activities relevant for operational safety.

Procedures, instructions and methods of work should be developed in co-operation with the people who are required to follow them, and should be expressed in a form understandable to them. The operator should ensure these procedures are implemented and provide the training necessary.

These written procedures should be made available to all staff responsible directly or indirectly for operation, and where appropriate to others involved such as maintenance staff. They should also be subject to periodic review both to ensure that they are current and accurate, and to ensure that they are actually followed.

Management of change

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Management of change - adoption and implementation of procedures for planning modifications to, or the design of new installations, processes or storage facilities.

The operator should adopt and implement management procedures for planning and controlling all changes in people, plant, processes and process variables, materials, equipment, procedures, software, design or external circumstances which are capable of affecting the control of major accident hazards. This approach should cover permanent, temporary and urgent operational changes, and should address:

- definition of what constitutes a change
- assignment of responsibilities and authorities for initiating change
- identification and documentation of the change proposed and of its implementation;
- identification and analysis where appropriate of any safety implications of the change proposed;
- definition, explanation where appropriate, documentation, and implementation of the safety measures deemed appropriate, including information and training requirements, as well as the necessary changes to operational procedures;
- definition and implementation of appropriate post-change review procedures and corrective mechanisms, and subsequent monitoring.

Management of change procedures must also be applied during the design and construction of new installations, processes, and storage facilities.

Planning for emergencies

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Planning for emergencies - adoption and implementation of procedures to identify foreseeable emergencies by systematic analysis and to prepare, test and review emergency plans to respond to such emergencies.

The detailed content of the emergency plan is not within the scope of this particular guidance document. (Details of data and information to be included in an Emergency Plan are specified in Annex IV of the Directive.) However the Safety Management System does include the procedures necessary to ensure that an adequate emergency plan is developed, adopted, implemented, reviewed, tested, and where necessary revised and updated. These procedures will define the skills and abilities

required, including where appropriate a team approach in order to find the necessary combination of theoretical and practical knowledge. The operator should develop and maintain procedures to identify, by systematic analysis starting from the hazard identification process, foreseeable emergencies arising from or in connection with its activities, and to record and keep up to date the results of this analysis. Plans to respond to such potential emergencies should be prepared, and arrangements for testing and review on a regular basis should be included within the Safety Management System. The procedures should also cover the necessary arrangements for communication of the plans to all those likely to be affected by an emergency.

Monitoring performance

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Monitoring performance - adoption and implementation of procedures for the ongoing assessment of compliance with the objectives set by the operator's major accident prevention policy and safety management system, and the mechanisms for investigation and taking corrective action in case of non-compliance. The procedures should cover the operator's system for reporting major accidents or near misses, particularly those involving failure of protective measures, and their investigation and follow-up on the basis of lessons learnt.

The operator should maintain procedures to ensure that safety performance can be monitored and compared with the safety objectives defined. This should include determining whether plans and objectives are being achieved, and whether arrangements to control risks are being implemented before an incident or accident occurs (active monitoring), as well as the reporting and investigation of failures which have resulted in incidents or accidents (reactive monitoring).

Active monitoring should include inspections of safety critical plant, equipment and instrumentation as well as assessment of compliance with training, instructions and safe working practices.

Reactive monitoring requires an effective system for reporting incidents and accidents and an investigation system which identifies not only the immediate causes but also any underlying failures which led to the event. It should pay particular attention to cases of failure of protective measures (including operational and management failures), and should include investigation, analysis, and follow-up (including transfer of information to personnel involved) to ensure that the lessons learnt are applied to future operation.

The operator should define the responsibility for initiating investigation and corrective action in the event of non-compliance with any part of the SMS. This should include in particular revision where necessary of procedures or systems to prevent recurrence. The information from performance monitoring should also be a significant input to the processes of audit and review (see below).

Audit and review

Relevant text from the Directive:

The following issues shall be addressed by the safety management system:

Audit and review - adoption and implementation of procedures for periodic systematic assessment of the major accident prevention policy and the effectiveness and suitability of the safety management system; the documented review of performance of the policy and safety management system and its up-dating by senior management.

The terms “audit” and “review” are used here for two different activities. An audit is intended to ensure that the organisation, processes, and procedures as defined and as actually carried out are consistent with the Safety Management System; it should be carried out by people who are sufficiently independent from the operational management of the unit being audited to ensure that their assessment is objective. A review is a more fundamental study of whether the Safety Management System is appropriate to fulfil the operator’s policy and objectives, and may extend to considering whether the policy and objectives should themselves be modified.

Audit

In addition to the routine monitoring of performance, the operator should carry out periodic audits of its SMS as a normal part of its business activities. An audit should determine whether the overall performance of the Safety Management System conforms to requirements, both external and those of the operator. The results of these audits should be used to decide what improvements should be made to the elements of the SMS and their implementation.

For this purpose the operator should adopt and implement an audit plan covering items 1-6. This plan, which should be reviewed at appropriate intervals, should define:

- the areas and activities to be audited;
- the frequency of audits for each area concerned;
- the responsibility for each audit;
- the resources and personnel required for each audit, bearing in mind the need for expertise, operational independence, and technical support;
- the audit protocols to be used (which can include questionnaires, checklists, interviews both open and structured, measurements and observations);
- the procedures for reporting audit findings;
- the follow-up procedures.

Review

Senior management should, at appropriate intervals, review the operator's overall safety policy and strategy for the control of major-accident hazards, and all aspects of the SMS to ensure its consistency with these. This review should also address the allocation of resources for SMS implementation, and should consider changes in the organisation as well as those in technology, standards, and legislation.

4 Bibliography

- ACSNI** (1993) *Organising for safety: Study Group on Human Factors. 3rd report*, HSE Books, ISBN 0 7176 08654.
- Adams H.** (1990) Sicherheitsmanagement - Die Organisation der Sicherheit im Unternehmen (in German), (Safety management - The organisation of safety in the company) In: *Blick durch die Wirtschaft*, ISBN 3924875 50 2, Germany.
- AENOR** (1996) *Norma española experimental - UNE 81900 EX. Prevención de riesgos laborales. Reglas generales para la implantación de un sistema de gestión de riesgos laborales* (in Spanish), (Experimental Spanish standard UNE 81900 EX. Prevention of labour risks. General rules for implementation of a labour risk management system), edición AENOR, c/ Fernandez de la Hoz 52, 28010 Madrid, Spain.
- Aloray Inc.** *Safety management: A human approach*, ISBN 0 913690 12 0.
- Aloray Inc.** *Techniques of safety management: A systems approach*, ISBN 0 913690 14 7.
- Aloray Inc.** *Safe behaviour reinforcement*, ISBN 0 913690 13 9.
- Amendola A.** (1995) Industrial accidents - Management of risk, In: *Heleco '95, Conference Proceedings*, Environmental Technology for the Mediterranean, 9-12 November, 1995, Athens, Greece.
- American Industrial Hygiene Association** (1996) *Occupational health and safety management system: an AIHA guidance document*, Fairfax, Virginia, United States of America.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for integrating process safety and SHE within a quality management framework* ISBN 0 8169 0683 1.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for technical planning for on-site emergencies*, ISBN 0 8169 0653 X.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers**, *Guidelines for writing effective operating and maintenance procedures*, ISBN 0 8169 0658 0.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for safe process operations and maintenance*, ISBN 0 8169 0627 0.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for implementing process safety management systems*, ISBN 0 8169 0590 8.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for process safety fundamentals in general plant operations*, ISBN 0 8169 0564 9.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Tools for acute risk decisions with applications to process safety*, ISBN 0 8169 0557 6.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for auditing process safety management systems*, ISBN 0 8169 0556 8.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Guidelines for preventing human error in process safety*, ISBN 0 8169 0461 8.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *Plant guidelines for technical management of chemical process safety*, ISBN 0 8169 0499 5.
- American Institute of Chemical Engineers** *International process safety management conference and workshop*, ISBN 8169 0589 4.
- American Petroleum Institute** (1990) *Management of process hazards*, API recommended practice 750, Washington, United States of America.
- Arthur D. Little International Inc.** (1993) *Überprüfung der Organisation des Sicherheitsmanagements des Hoechst AG* (in German), (Examination of the safety management organisation of Hoechst AG), Staatliche Amt für Immission - und Strahlenschutz, Frankfurt am Main und Wiesbaden, Germany.
- Auger J.E.** (1995) Build a proper process safety management program from the ground up, *Chemical Engineering Progress*, vol. 91, issue 1, 47-53.
- Belgian Ministry of Labour** (1997) *Metatechnical evaluation system. An evaluation system for the safety management in the process industries*, Chemical Risks Directorate, Ministry of Labour, Brussels, Belgium.
- Bellamy L.J., Leathley B.A., Gibson W.H.** (1995) *Organisational factors and safety in the process industry: inspection tool development: final report C2432*, Ministerie van Sociale Zaken en Werkgelegenheid, ISBN 90 5250 976 X, Den Haag, The Netherlands.

- Bird F.E., Germain G.L.** (1990) *Liderazgo Práctico en el control de pérdidas*, (in Spanish), (Loss control management) Det Norske Veritas as Region Iberia, Edificio Océano c/ Garrotxa 10-12, Parque de Negocios Mas Blau, E-08220 El prat de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.
- Booth R.T., Lee T.R.** (1995) The role of human factors and safety culture in safety management, *Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 209, 393 - 400.
- Brascamp M.H., Van Steen J.F.J.** (1996) Hoe goed is uw veiligheidsmanagement? (in Dutch), (How good is your safety management?), *PT Procestechneek*, vol. 51, n. 4, 62-65.
- Brazier A.J.** (1994) Summary of incident reporting in the process industry, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 7, n. 3, 243-248.
- Bridges W.G.** (1994) Cost and benefits of process safety management: industry survey results, *Process Safety Progress*, vol. 13, n. 1, 23-29.
- British Standards Institute** (1996) *BS 8800 Guide to occupational health and safety management systems*, United Kingdom.
- Brown C.A., Puneet S.** (1994) The EPA's process safety management program for preventing accidental chemical releases, *Tappi Journal*, 77 (4) 62-68.
- Cacciabue P.C., Gerbaulet I., Mitchison N.** (Eds.) (1994) Safety management in the process industry *Proceedings CEC seminar on 7/8 October 1993, Ravello (SA), Italy*, Available from CDCIR, TP 670, CCR, Ispra (Va), Italy 21020.
- CEFIC**, European Chemical Industry Council, (1996) *Responsible Care Annual Report 1995*, Brussels, Belgium.
- CEOC**, Confédération Européenne d'Organismes de Contrôle *The impact of modifications in the process industry on safety*, R74/CEOC/CR 89.
- CFBP, UFIP, UIC Comité Français du butane et propane, Union Française des industries pétrolières, Union des industries chimiques** (1995) *Recommandations pour la mise en oeuvre d'un système de management de la sécurité* (in French), (Recommendations for the implementation of a safety management system) Paris, France.
- CIA**, Chemical Industries Association, (1995) *Responsible Care Management Systems for Health, Safety and Environment*, Second edition, ref. RC51, London, United Kingdom.
- CIA**, Chemical Industries Association, (1992) *ISO 9001 Guidelines for health, safety and environmental systems for use by the chemical industry in support of Responsible Care*, London, United Kingdom.
- Clarke R.J.** (1997) Managing safety as a strategic business issue - the role of risk assessment, In: Effective integrated risk and safety management, *Conference proceedings, IBC UK Conferences Ltd.*, 13/14 March 1997, Manchester, United Kingdom.
- Comitato Termotecnico Italiano** (1995) *Elementi fondamentali per la gestione della sicurezza dell'esercizio degli impianti di processo a rischio di incidente rilevante* (in Italian), (Key elements for the safety management of major hazard process plants), doc. SGSP 8, Italy.
- CONCAWE** (1989) *Managing safety*, report no. 4/89, CONCAWE, Brussels, Belgium.
- Cooper D.**, (1998) *Improving safety culture. A practical guide*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, United Kingdom.
- Coyle W.L.** (1995) Process safety management and the pulp and paper industry, *Tappi Journal*, vol. 78, n. 10, 184-190, ISSN 0734 1415.
- Det Norske Veritas as Región Iberia** (1990) *Programma de seguridad para les PYME. Manual de referencia del coordinador* (in Spanish), (Safety Programme for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises: Reference Manual for the Coordinator), Det Norske Veritas as Region Iberia, Edificio Océano c/ Garrotxa 10-12, Parque de Negocios Mas Blau, E-08220 El prat de Liobregat, Barcelona, Spain.
- Det Norske Veritas as Región Iberia** (1994) *Sistema de clasificación internacional de seguridad SCIS* (in Spanish), (Safety system of international classification) Det Norske Veritas as Region Iberia, Edificio Océano c/ Garrotxa 10-12, Parque de Negocios Mas Blau, E-08220 El prat de Liobregat, Barcelona, Spain.
- Donald I., Canter D.** (1994) Employee attitudes and safety in the chemical industry, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 7, n. 3, 203 - 208.
- Drogaris G.** (1993) *Major Accident Reporting System - Lessons learnt from accidents notified*, EUR 15060 EN, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Edición tecnología y gestion de la innovación S.A.** (1995) *Gestión de seguridad industrial. Prontuario* (in Spanish), (Industrial safety management. Handbook), c/ Velázquez 134, 28006 Madrid, Spain.
- Elsea K., Conger D.** (1987) MORT User's Manual, EG&G Services, Woodstock, GA, United States of America.

Ente Nazionale Italiano di Unificazione (1997) *UNI 10616:1997 Impianti di processo a rischio di incidente rilevante. Gestione della sicurezza nell'esercizio. Criteri fondamentali di attuazione* (in Italian), (Major hazard process plants. Safety management for operation. Fundamental criteria for the implementation) Italy.

Ente Nazionale Italiano di Unificazione (1997) *UNI 10617:1997 Impianti di processo a rischio di incidente rilevante. Sistema di gestione della sicurezza. Requisiti essenziali* (in Italian), (Major hazard process plants. Safety management system. Essential requirements) Italy.

Ente Nazionale Italiano di Unificazione (1997) *UNI 10672:1997 Impianti di processo a rischio di incidente rilevante. Procedure di garanzia della sicurezza nella progettazione* (in Italian), (Major hazard process plants. Safety assurance procedures for design) Italy.

E&P Forum (1994) *Guidelines for the development and application of health, safety and environmental management systems*, Report no. 6.36/210, E&P Forum, United Kingdom.

ESReDA (1997) *Communicating Safety*, Report SRDA-R16, HMSO, ISBN 0 7058 1739 3, United Kingdom.

European Process Safety Centre (1994) *Safety Management Systems: sharing experiences in process safety*, published by the Institution of Chemical Engineers, ISBN 0 85295 356 9, United Kingdom.

European Process Safety Centre (Ed.) (1996) *Safety Performance Measurement*, published by the Institution of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom.

Federación Española de la Industria Química (1994) *Programa de compromiso de progreso de la industria química Española. Código de prácticas de gestión en los procesos y código de prácticas de gestión para la preparación en emergencias* (in Spanish), (Responsible Care programme of chemical Spanish industry. Code of practice for process management and code of practice for emergency response), FEIQUE, c/ Hermosilla 31, 28001 Madrid, Spain.

Grote G., Künzler C., Klampfer B. (1997) Understanding safety cultures in organisations - the concept of total safety management and its practical use in audit instruments, In: Soares, C.G. (ed.) *Advances in safety and reliability. Proceedings of the ESREL '97 International Conference on Safety and Reliability*, 17 - 20 June, 1997, Lisbon, Portugal.

Hale A.R., Glendon A.I. (1987) *Individual Behaviour in the Control of Danger*, Elsevier Industrial Safety Series, vol. 2, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Hale A.R. (1990) *Safety management systems: A model and some applications*, Delft University of Technology, Safety Science Group, The Netherlands.

Hale A.R., Bellamy L.J., Guldenmund F., Heming B.H.J., Kirwan B. (1997) Dynamic Modelling of Safety Management, In: Soares, C.G. (ed.) *Advances in safety and reliability. Proceedings of the ESREL '97 International Conference on Safety and Reliability*, 17 - 20 June, 1997, Lisbon, Portugal.

Harms-Ringdahl L. (1993) *Safety analysis. Principles and practice in occupational safety* Elsevier, London, United Kingdom.

Herzog F.C. (1995) Hazard analysis techniques for use in process safety management programs, In: *Conference Proceedings, The 1995 ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition*, San Francisco, 12-17 November 1995, United States of America.

Hessisches Ministerium für Frauen, Arbeit und Sozialordnung (1997) *Leitfaden Arbeitsschutzmanagement*, (in German) (Recommendations for Worker Protection Management), Hesse, Germany.

HSE, Health and Safety Executive (1991), *The costs of accidents at work*, Health and Safety Series, Booklet HS(G)96, HMSO, London, United Kingdom.

HSE, Health and Safety Executive (1984) *A guide to the control of Industrial Major Accident Regulations*, HS(R)21 (rev.), ISBN 0 11 885579 4, United Kingdom.

HSE, Health and Safety Executive (1997) *Successful Health and Safety Management*, HS(G)65, (second edition), ISBN 0 7176 12767, United Kingdom.

Hubler, W.G. (1995) Behaviour based approach to creating a strong safety culture, *Proceedings of the 1995 SPE/IADC Drilling Conference*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Hurst, N.W., Ratcliffe, K. (1994) Development and application of a structured audit technique for the assessment of safety management systems (STATAS), *Institution of Chemical Engineers Symposium Series*, issue 134, 315-339, ISSN 03070492.

Hurst N.W., Young S., Donald I., Gibson H., Muyselaar A. (1996) Measures of safety management performance and attitudes to safety at major hazard sites, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 9, n. 2, 161-172, Elsevier, United Kingdom.

Hurst N. (1997) From research to practical tools - developing assessment tools for safety management and safety culture, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 10, n. 1, 63 - 66, Elsevier, United Kingdom.

IChemE, Institution of Chemical Engineers, *Developing effective safety systems*, ISBN 0 85295 358 5.

IChemE, Institution of Chemical Engineers, *Management of safety, Manosaf 95 conference*, ISBN 0 85295 360 7.

IChemE, Institution of Chemical Engineers, *Hazards XII European advances in process safety* ISBN 0 85295 327 5.

ILO, International Labour Office (1990) *Prevention of major industrial accidents*, Geneva, Switzerland.

IMI, International Maritime Organisation (1994) *International Safety Management Code*, London, United Kingdom.

INSAG, International Nuclear Safety Advisory Group (1991) *Safety culture*, International Atomic Energy Agency, Wien, Austria.

International Loss Control Institute, (1990) *ISRS, International Safety Rating System*, Loganville, GA, United States of America.

ISO, International Standards Organisation (1995) *Petroleum and natural gas industries - Health, Safety and Environmental Management Systems*, ISO/CD 14 690, circulated as International Standards Organisation Committee draft.

IVSS, *Gefahrenermittlung, Gefahrenbewertung - praxisbewährte systematische Methoden* (in German) (Hazard identification and hazard evaluation - systematic methods tried in practice), Internationale Sektion der IVSS für die Verhütung von Arbeitsunfällen und Berufskrankheiten in der chemischen Industrie, Kurfürsten Anlage 62, D-69115 Heidelberg, Germany.

Jones A.V. (1997) *The regulation of Major Hazards in France, Germany, Finland and the Netherlands*, Health and Safety Executive, United Kingdom.

Kemikontoret Association of Swedish Chemical Industries (1997) *Integrerat ledningssystem foer sakerhet, haelsa och miljoe* (in Swedish), (Integrated management system for safety, health and the environment), Sweden.

Kemikontoret Association of Swedish Chemical Industries (1996), *Administrativ SHM revision*, (in Swedish), Sweden.

Kemikontoret Association of Swedish Chemical Industries (1996), *SHE Audit*, (in Swedish), Sweden.

Kirchsteiger C., Christou M., Papadakis, G. (eds.) (1998) *Risk Assessment & Risk Management in the Context of the Seveso II Directive*, Elsevier, The Netherlands.

Kröger W., Seiler H., Gheorge A. (eds) (1995) *Technik, Risiko und Sicherheit* (in German), (Technology, risk and safety) Abschlußbericht des Polyprojektes "Risiko und Sicherheit technischer Systeme" der ETHZ 1991-1994, W&H Verlags AG, Postfach 566, CH 6314 Unterägeri, Switzerland.

Loss Control Centre (1993) *Improvement management platform, version 1.1*, Belgium.

Loupasis S. (1997) *The Directive Seveso II and the requirements it poses on safety management systems. A case study*, EUR 17345 EN, ECSC-EC-EAEC Brussels, Luxembourg, European Commission.

Loupasis S., Papadakis G.A. (1997) An approach to the qualitative evaluation of safety management systems, In: *Communicating Safety*, pp. 159-169, Report SRDA-R16, ISBN 0-7058-1739-3 HMSO, United Kingdom.

Madjar M., Rudolf von Rohr P. (1995) *Risikoanalyse verfahrenstechnischer Anlagen - Beitrag zur schrittweisen Durchführung prozessbegleitender Risikoanalysen* (in German) (Risk analysis of process plant - a contribution to a step-by-step process risk analysis) Leitfaden Nr. 5, W&H Verlags AG, Postfach 566, CH 6314 Unterägeri, Switzerland.

Ministère de l'Environnement (1994) *Management de la sécurité et de la protection de l'environnement-Recherche et étude comparative des systèmes de management et d'audit* (Mise a jour du rapport ALGOE Management de juin 1991) (in French), (Safety management and environmental protection management-Research and comparative study on management and audit systems), Environment Ministry, DPR-SEI 20, Paris, France.

Ministerie van Sociale Zaken en Werkgelegenheid (1996) *AVRIM2 Versie 1.0* (in Dutch), Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment, The Hague, The Netherlands.

Mitchison N., Papadakis G.A. (1996) Safety Management Systems: International Initiatives, *Proceedings "I sistemi di Gestione della Sicurezza"*, AIAS-3ASI-AIIA - Milano July 1995, Technical Note I.96.91, Joint Research Centre, European Commission.

Mitchison N., Clementé C. (1998) Safety Management Systems in the "Seveso II" Directive, In: S. Lydersen, G.K. Hansen & H.A. Sandtorv, (Eds.) *Safety and Reliability, Proceedings of ESREL '98 Conference*, pp. 121-125, Balkema, Rotterdam 1998.

Morici A., Battistella G. (1993) The role of safety management systems and their application in hazardous plants, *Workshop on "Risk assessment and management in large industrial complexes"*, 20-23 December 1993, Alexandria, Egypt.

Mouzakis G., Papadakis G. (1997) New Seveso Directive: development of a new philosophy in the safety management systems in hazardous sites (Recognition of national, local and industrial culture), (in Greek) *New Ecology*, vol. 152, 44-45, Greece.

Murphy M.R. (1994) Prepare for EPA's risk management program rule, *Chemical Engineering Progress*, 90 (8) 77-82.

Nivolianitou Z. (1996) A safety auditing methodology in the Greek chemical industry aiming at risk and consequence assessment to the people and the environment, In: Diamadopoulou, E. Korfiatis, G. (Eds.) *Protection and restoration of the Environment III*, Conference Proceedings, Chania, Greece.

OECD, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1992) *Guiding principles for chemical accident prevention and response*, (available in English, French, and Russian) Paris, France.

OECD, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1995) *OECD environment monographs No. 95: Report of the OECD workshop on small and medium-sized enterprises in relation to chemical accident prevention, preparedness and response*, Paris, France.

OSHA, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1992) *Process safety management of highly hazardous chemicals*, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1910.119, Washington DC, United States of America.

Oxenburgh M. (1991) *Increasing productivity and profit through health and safety*, North Ryde, CCH Australia.

Papadakis G.A., Amendola A. (Eds.) (1997) *Guidance on the preparation of a safety report to meet the requirements of Council Directive 96/82/EC (Seveso II)*, EC Publication, EUR Report 17690 EN, Luxembourg 1997, ISBN 92 828 1451 3.

Papadakis G.A., Loupasis, S. (1998) Evaluation of safety management systems and safety weighting policy, In: *9th International Symposium on Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries*, Conference Proceedings, vol. 3, pp. 1286-1295, ISBN 84-88167-47-4, Barcelona, May 4-8, 1998, Spain.

Papard P., Mervyn T. (1993) How much do failures in health and safety management cost?, *Manosaf 93 conference*, Institution of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom.

PAS, Pellenberg Audit System (1994) Garant, Leuven, Belgium.

Paul K.D. (1997) Safety audit: a powerful tool for the verification of operating concepts, In: *Workshop on human performance in chemical process safety* Munich 24-27 June 1997, Texte 61/97, ISSN 0722-186X, Umweltbundesamt, Germany.

Rasmussen J. (1982) Human errors - A taxonomy for describing human malfunction in industrial installations, *Journal of Occupational Accidents*, 4, 311 - 333.

Rasmussen K. (1996) *The experience with the major accident reporting system from 1984 to 1993*, Joint Research Centre, European Commission.

Roumieux P., Pilla V. (1990) *Safety management and environmental protection systems* - Final report, Ministère de l'Environnement, France.

Ruohomäki I. (1997), *Turvallisuuden kestävä kehittäminen* (in Finnish), (Sustainable development of safety), Työturvallisuuskeskus (Centre for Occupational Safety), Helsinki, Finland.

Ruuhilehto K., Suokas J. (1992) *Organisaation turvallisuusanalyysi MORT - käsikirja* (in Finnish), (Applying MORT-analysis in Finnish Industry - a Handbook), VTT Publications 1338, VTT, Espoo, Finland.

Ruuhilehto K., Virolainen K. (1992) *Kunnossapidon turvallisuusanalyysi MORT - käsikirja* (in Finnish), (Applying MORT Maintenance Safety Analysis in Finnish Industry - A handbook), VTT Publications 1332, VTT, Espoo, Finland.

Ruuhilehto K., Kuusisto A. (1998) *Turvallisuuskulttuuri - mitä se on?* (in Finnish), (Safety Culture - What is it?), TUKES Publication 3/1998, Helsinki, Finland.

RWTÜV Anlagentechnik GmbH, (1998) *Verbesserung des Sicherheitsmanagements bei kleinen und mittleren Unternehmen (KMU) zur Erfüllung des organisatorischen Sicherheitspflichten der Störfall-Verordnung* (in German), (Improvement of SME safety management to fulfil the organisational safety requirements of the Accident Prevention Law) Bonn, Germany.

RWTÜV Anlagentechnik GmbH, (1994) *Verbesserungsmöglichkeiten für die Effektivität des Sicherheitsmanagements* (in German), (Possibilities of improvement of the effectiveness of safety management), F+E Projekt 13 RG 9011, Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, Bonn, Germany.

Santamaría Ramiro J.M., Braña Ainsa P.A. (1994) *Análisis y reducción de riesgos en la industria química* (in Spanish), (Analysis and reduction of risks in the chemical industry), edición MAPFRE S.A., Paseo Recoletos 25, 28004 Madrid, Spain.

- Schein E.H.** (1985) *Organisational culture and leadership*, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, United States of America.
- Schreiber S.** (1994) Measuring performance and effectiveness of process safety management, *Process Safety Progress*, vol. 13, n. 2, 64-68.
- Störfall Kommission,** (1994) *Abschlußbericht Sicherheitsabstände als Schadensvorsorge* (in German), (Final report on safety margins as damage prevention), SFK-GS-04, TAA, Schwertnergasse 1, 50667 Köln, Germany.
- Störfall Kommission,** (1995) *Leitfaden Anlagensicherheit* (in German), (Guideline relating to Plant Safety) SFK-GS-06, TAA, Schwertnergasse 1, 50667 Köln, Germany.
- Störfall Kommission,** (1995) *Bericht des Arbeitskreises Seveso-Richtlinie* (in German), (Report of the study group on the Seveso Directive), TAA, Schwertnergasse 1, 50667 Köln, Germany.
- Sundström M., Svanström L.** (1997) *Promoting community safety. A two-year report.* October 1995 - October 1997, KI Green Report 369, Karolinska Institutet, Department of Public Health Sciences, Sundbyberg, Sweden.
- TAA, Technischer Ausschuß für Anlagensicherheit** (1996) *Abschlußbericht Arbeitskreis Anlagenüberwachung* (in German), (Final report of the study group on plant security), TAA-GS-11, Schwertnergasse 1, 50667 Köln, Germany.
- Toola A.** (1992) *Safety analysis in conceptual design of process control*, VTT Publications 117, VTT, Espoo, Finland.
- Tuli R.W., Apostolakis G.E.** (1996) Incorporating organisational issues into root-cause analysis, *Trans ICEmE*, vol. 74, part B, 3-16, Institution of Chemical Engineers.
- Tweeddale H.M.** (1995) Principles and practices for design of process safety monitoring and auditing programmes, In: Mewis, J.J. Pasman, H.J. De Rademaeker, E.E. (Eds.), *Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries*, vol. I, 71-82, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- UNEP** (1996) *Safety health and environmental management systems*, UNEP IE Technical report No 28, consultation version, France.
- Uth, H.J.** (ed.) (1994) *Krisenmanagement bei Störfällen - Vorsorge und Abwehr der Gefahren durch chemische Stoffe* (in German), (Crisis management in accidents - Prevention and protection from the dangers of chemical substances) Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
- van Steen, J.F.J., Brascamp, M.H.** (1995) On the measurement of safety performance, In: Mewis J.J., Pasman H.J., de Radmaeker E.E. (Eds.), *Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries*, vol. I, 57-69, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- van Steen J.F.J., Kok E.H.J., Kolk W.J., Bakker L.J.** (1994) *ACRONYM: Development of proposals for guidelines on safety management - Final report.* Available from CDCIR, MAHB, CCR Ispra (Va), Italy.
- Zohar D.** (1980) Safety Climate in Industrial Organisations - Theoretical and Applied Implications, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65, 96-102.
- Williamson A.M., Feyer A.-M., Cairns D., Biancotti D.** (1997) The development of a measure of safety climate: the role of safety perceptions and attitudes, *Safety Science*, vol. 25, n. 1-3., 15-27.